

## Glebe Community Association: Special Meeting on Lansdowne Park October 22, 2009

### General Consensus

Over 300 members of the Glebe Community Association attended a general meeting on the Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group's proposal to develop Lansdowne Park. At the meeting, 4 general principles (although not the specific wording) were adopted by a show of hands.

1. The City should adopt an open, transparent and competitive process for planning the future of Lansdowne Park.
2. The City should adopt best practices in public engagement consultation at all stages of planning and developing Lansdowne Park.
3. The City should provide a full opportunity for public involvement in Lansdowne Park, by ensuring ongoing public and community access and maintaining public control and management of the site.
4. The financial implications of any development should be clearly presented and assessed to limit financial risks and protect public interests

### Individual Comment Cards

There were 160 comment sheets submitted, of which 5 did not respond to any of the multiple choice statements. They wrote comments only; they did not want to be drawn in to a flawed design/flawed process. Therefore the total number of responses in the tables below is 155.

### The Overall Plan

1. The Aberdeen Pavilion and adjacent outdoor space-at least equal to its current size-should become the permanent home for Ottawa Farmers Market

Yes	No	Possibly	No reply	
136 /92%	8 /5%	3 /2%	8	N=148

2. Heritage buildings should not be moved or visually obscured and their character should be respected.

Yes	No	Don't know	No reply	
143 /95%	6 /4%	2 /1%	4	N=151

3. The retail and commercial space (i.e. stores, hotel and offices) should:

Stay the same	Greatly reduced	Taken out	No reply	
7 /5%	54 /36%	89 /59%	5	N=150

4. Housing should be a part of a redesigned Lansdowne Park.

yes	no	some (if appropriate scale)	No reply	
11 /5%	62 /43%	76 /52%	6	N=145

5. Public lands should not be sold.

agree	disagree	In part	No reply	
121 /80%	2 /1%	29 /19%	3	N=152

6. There should be dedicated and permanent green space at Lansdowne Park.

Yes	1/3	More than 1/3	Less than 1/3	No reply	No
155 /100%	23 /22%	79 /75%	4 /3%	49	0
		N=106			

7. The City should control the ongoing management of Lansdowne Park.

Yes	No	Undecided/ don't know	No reply	
135 /92%	7 /5%	5 /3%	8	N=147

### Quality of Life, Transportation and Traffic

1. A realistic public transportation plan, including an independent traffic study is required before decision is taken on Lansdowne Park.

Yes	No	Don't know	No reply	
148 /98%	2 /1%	1	4	N=151

2. There should be no truck and delivery routes on residential streets

Yes	No	It depends	No reply	
137 /93%	8 /5%	2 /1%	8	N=147

3. Sufficient on-site parking needed to avoid an unreasonable burden on neighbourhood streets.

Yes	No	Depends on transit	No reply	
131 /91%	10 /7%	3 /2%	11	N=144

4. Number and types of events ...compatible...

Yes	No	No reply	
148 /98%	3 /2%	4	N=151

5. LP should include public recreational and sport facilities.

Yes	No	Don't know/ indifferent	No reply	
139 /93%	6 /4%	5 /3%	5	N=150

6. Community/school access must be guaranteed.

Yes	No	No reply	
144 /99%	1 /1%	10	N=145

### Stadium and Civic Centre

1. The City should conduct a thorough Feasibility study (including costing and transportation) to determine the best location of stadium.

Yes	No	No reply	
129 /97%	4 /3%	22	N=133

2. Frank Clair Stadium should:

Be fully renovated at taxpayers cost	Be fully renovated at proponents cost	Have minor improvement sand used while permanent solution is found	Be razed as it prevents planning of Lansdonwe Park (mostly razed; some with razed and another choice)	Don't know	No reply
1 /	4 /3%	41 /32%	71+9/ 62%	2	27
			N=129		

3. The Civic Centre containing the arena should be renovated.

Yes	No	Don't know/ conditional	No reply	
80 /73%	19 /17%	11 /10%	45	N=110

**Other Issues**

There were extensive comments. These are summarized in a separate report.